

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Ful

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/524,307	02/07/2005	Walter Gentele	411076.00014	6445
26710 75	90 09/21/2006		EXAMINER	
QUARLES & BRADY LLP 411 E. WISCONSIN AVENUE			KURR, JASO	N RICHARD
SUITE 2040	ASIII AI DIIOL		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202-4497			2615	

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/524,307	GENTELE, WALTER			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jason R. Kurr	2615			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 F</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 February 2005</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/7/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal f 6) Other:	ate			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 1 recites the limitation "the cut-off frequency" in line 10 of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Akiyama et al (US 6,057,659).

With respect to claim 1, Akiyama discloses a loudspeaker arrangement in a device (fig.1) for playback of stereophonic audio signals with a housing (fig.1 #11a) arranged in the device, a loudspeaker for bass signals (fig.1 #14, col.3 ln.31-34) and loudspeakers for mid-range and treble signals (fig.1 #17,18, col.4 ln.14-19), in which crossover networks (fig.8 #62,65,68) separate the stereophonic audio signals for playback with loudspeakers into a bass signal and mid-range and treble signals, characterized by the fact that the crossover networks have a crossover frequency higher than the cut-off frequency of loudspeaker for bass signals (col.8 ln.7-38).

With respect to claim 2, Akiyama discloses the loudspeaker arrangement according to claim 1, wherein the crossover frequency of crossover networks is about 350 Hz (col.8 ln.33-45).

With respect to claim 3, Akiyama discloses the loudspeaker arrangement according to claim 1, wherein the loudspeakers for the mid-range and treble signals and the loudspeaker for the bass signals have their own closed partial housing (fig.1 #11,22).

With respect to claim 4, Akiyama discloses the loudspeaker arrangement according to claim 3, wherein the partial housing of loudspeaker for the mid-range and treble signals and the loudspeaker for bass signals are separated from each other by chambers (fig.1 #12,13).

With respect to claim 11, Akiyama discloses the loudspeaker arrangement according to claim 1, wherein the loudspeaker arrangement is a component of an entertainment electronics device (col.2 ln.10-15).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akiyama et al (US 6,057,659) in view of Kobayashi (US 4,044,855).

With respect to claim 5, Akiyama discloses the loudspeaker arrangement according to claim 4, however does not disclose expressly wherein at least one of the chambers and the partial housings are acoustically dampened. Kobayashi discloses a loudspeaker device wherein the acoustic chamber of the loudspeaker is acoustically dampened (col.1 ln.20-37). At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the acoustic dampening methods of Kobayashi in the speaker chamber of Akiyama. The motivation for doing so would have been to increase the apparent inner volume of the chamber, thus improving the bass characteristic of the speaker as taught by Kobayashi.

Claims 6-10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akiyama et al (US 6,057,659).

With respect to claims 6 and 7, Akiyama discloses the loudspeaker arrangement according to claim 1, however does not disclose expressly the volumes of the speaker housings. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to make Akiyama's housing volumes of substantial size whether it be 0.5-1.5 L for the bass speaker or 0.04 L for the treble/midrange speakers. The motivation for doing so would have been to properly reproduce quality acoustic signals by supplying a volume capable of containing speakers of a certain size.

With respect to claim 8, Akiyama discloses the loudspeaker arrangement according to claim 1, however does not disclose expressly wherein the loudspeakers for the mid-range and treble signals have a distance from each other of 150-500 mm.

Akiyama does disclose the distance as being between 300-700mm (col.2 ln.6-9). At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Akiyama to include a minimum distance of 150 mm. The motivation for doing so would have been to minimize the size of the apparatus, thus making it more portable.

With respect to claims 9 and 10, Akiyama discloses the loudspeaker arrangement of claim 1, however does not disclose expressly the power being supplied to the loudspeakers. Official Notice is taken that the concept of supplying speakers with appropriate power, such as 5-16 watts or 3-7 watts is well known and expected in the art. It would have been obvious to supply the speakers of Akiyama with power in the disclosed ranges in order to allow the speakers to operate in peak conditions.

With respect to claim 12, Akiyama discloses the loudspeaker arrangement according to claim 1, however does not disclose expressly wherein the loudspeaker arrangement is a component of a monitor or computer. Akiyama discloses in column 2 lines 10-15 the capability of connecting the apparatus to a tape recorder or CD player. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to user this connection to interface with a computer or monitors audio output.

Art Unit: 2615

The motivation for doing so would have been to provide a portable speaker device for portable computing devices such as laptops.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Harrison (US 4,942,939) discloses a speaker system with folded audio transmission passage.

Tracy (US 6,719,090 B2) discloses a speaker assembly.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason R. Kurr whose telephone number is (571) 272-0552. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:00am to 6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on (571) 273-8300. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/524,307

Art Unit: 2615

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JK

JK

SINH TRAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER